

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,634	02/25/2002	Viktor Magdolen	100564-00104	1915	
6449 75	590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER		
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			KUMAR, SH	KUMAR, SHAILENDRA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1621	. 3	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Applicant(s) Application No. MAGDOLEN ET AL. 10/049,634 Advisory Action Art Unit Examiner SHAILENDRA - KUMAR 1621 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with th correspondenc address --THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from; (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \_\_\_\_\_\_: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19,25,27-29,35,42,84-88 and 90-95. Claim(s) objected to: 18,25,48,49,51-83 and 96-98. Claim(s) rejected: 15,16,23,24,30-34,36-41,43-50 and 89. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. 8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

SHAILENDRA - KUMAR Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1621

10. Other: see the supplementary sheet

Application/Control Number: 10/049,634

Art Unit: 1621

This office action is in response to applicants' communication filed on 1/8/04.

Applicants' remarks have been noted. Applicants argue that their positional isomer gives unexpected results compared to the Honda reference compound. Applicants need to submit a declaration to support such allegation. The examiner will then reconsider his position.

Applicants again point out that the finality should be withdrawn. The examiner disagrees and the finality is maintained. The reason is that the application was filed on 2/25/2002, and the examiner mailed first office action on 3/25/2003. Applicants had full one year at their disposal to submit a preliminary amendment, which they did not.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAILENDRA - KUMAR whose telephone number is 703-308-4519. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00-5:30, Alt Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 703-308-4532. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

> SHAILENDRA - KUMAR Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621

S.Kumar 1/22/04